## INDIVIDUAL ARTS PARTNERSHIP GRANT PROCESS: REVIEW CRITERIA AND SCORING RUBRIC

	10 POINTS – EXCELLENT	4 POINTS – AVERAGE	1 POINT – WEAK
Artistic Accomplishment and Promise  Artist Statement Artist Bio/Resume Sample work and descriptions	<ul> <li>Very Confident about Applicant's Abilities</li> <li>Presents compelling information about artistic practice/product and pursuit of artistic excellence</li> <li>Sample work clearly demonstrates high artistic quality</li> <li>Sample work descriptions provide clear context, including how the samples do/don't relate to the</li> </ul>	Artist Statement s not fully compelling or convincing     Sample work demonstrates artistic competency     Sample work and descriptions don't provide adequately context and don't explain how they do/don't relate to the proposal	Concerns about Applicant's Abilities     Does not present compelling or convincing proof of artistic achievement and/or pursuit of artistic excellence     Sample work unconvincing about artistic quality or promise     Sample work descriptions don't describe how the samples do/don't relate to the proposal
Project Concept  Short Project Description Project Description/Concept Project Budget/Budget Narrative	<ul> <li>Proposed project.</li> <li>Very well-conceived and compelling</li> <li>Clearly advances the applicant's overall artistic and career goals</li> <li>Convinces that project results are achievable</li> <li>If project not Metro-based in the Metro, provides convincing argument about how the project benefits the artist's practice</li> <li>Budget is clearly presented and appropriate/reasonable for the scope of the project; budget plus narrative information instill confidence</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not fully or well-conceived and not overly compelling</li> <li>Unclear whether project advances the applicant's overall artistic and career goals</li> <li>Some question about whether the project is achievable</li> <li>If project not Metro-based, does not fully convince about how the project benefits to the artist's practice</li> <li>Budget is appropriate/reasonable for the project; budget plus narrative information cause no real concerns</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poorly or incompletely conceived, and not compelling</li> <li>Unlikely to advance the applicant's overall artistic and career goals</li> <li>'Red flags' about whether the stated results are achievable</li> <li>If project not Metro-based, unconvincing about how the project will benefit the artist's practice</li> <li>Budget is unclear and/or is not appropriate/reasonable for the project: budget plus narrative raise concerns</li> </ul>
Impact on Artistic Growth Short- and Longer-Term Goals	<ul> <li>Narrative compellingly and specifically connects the project to the artist's ability to grow artistically, now and in the future</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Narrative not fully convincing about the project contributing to the artist's ability to grow artistically</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Narrative does not clearly connect the project to the artist's growth or is otherwise unconvincing</li> </ul>
Capacity  Overall application  Resume/Bio  Sample Work	<ul> <li>Application is well-written, engaging, clear, articulate and – importantly – convincing</li> <li>Narrative information and additional materials provide insightful overview of career goals and accomplishments, giving confidence in the success of the new project</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Application is well-written but not overly engaging, clear, articulate or convincing</li> <li>Narrative information and additional materials provide an adequate overview of career goals and accomplishments, raising some question about the success of the new project</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Application is poorly written, unclear, inarticulate and unconvincing</li> <li>Narrative information and additional materials do not provide an adequate overview of career goals and accomplishments and do not instill confidence about the success of the project</li> </ul>